



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,506	02/26/2004	David Wender	16103-105002	7427
65989 7590 04/30/2009				
KING & SPALDING				
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS				
NEW YORK, NY 10036-4003				
EXAMINER				
TINKLER, MURIEL S				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3691				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/30/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptomailnyc@kslaw.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/788,506

Applicant(s)

WENDER, DAVID

Examiner

MURIEL TINKLER

Art Unit

3691

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MURIEL TINKLER.(3) David Wender.(2) Joseph Eng, Jr.(4) Jeff Tischler.(5) Scott Kolassa.(6) Alex Kalinowski.

Date of Interview: 21 April 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: a graphical user interface was displayed and a demonstration was conducted to show various inventive methods.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12, 20 and 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: Lange (US 2002/0147670).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representatives demonstrated the inventive method using a graphical user interface. The following was also discussed: the claim language and proposed amendments to independent claims 1, 12, 20 and 23; and, previous rejections under 35 USC 112, 35 USC 101 and 35 USC 102.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Hani M. Kazimi/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691